Role of Bacteriological Agents in Idiopathic Granulomatous Mastitis: Real or Not?
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Granulomatous mastitis is a rare, benign, chronic inflammatory disease of the breast of unknown etiology. This study evaluated bacteriologic agents that might play a role in the etiology of granulomatous mastitis using a molecular method with a universal primer after isolating deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) from pathology specimens from patients diagnosed with granulomatous mastitis.

Materials and Methods: Breast biopsy material in the pathology department obtained between July 2008 and June 2013 was analyzed. The history of the granulomatous mastitis patients was examined in detail and paraffin block sections of the biopsy material were used to determine the presence of bacteria with a universal DNA primer.

Results: This study examined 45 granulomatous mastitis patients who had been diagnosed using excisional, incisional, or core biopsies. We evaluated multiple bacterial taxa, but obtained no positive result using a nucleic-acid-based assay with a universal primer.

Conclusion: The etiology of idiopathic granulomatous mastitis remains unclear. Further studies with a large number of patients should aim to identify the causative agent.
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Introduction

Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis (IGM) is a rare, benign, chronic inflammatory lesion of the breast that was first described in 1972 (1). Although benign, it is of clinical significance because it can imitate breast cancer clinically and radiologically, requires long-term follow-up, and is associated with high rates of recurrence after treatment (2, 3).

To diagnose IGM, the inflammation-causing granuloma should be demonstrated histologically, and the inflammation should not be related to a specific factor known to be associated with a granulomatous reaction (4, 5). The pathogenesis of IGM remains unclear. Currently, the most widely supported theory for this condition is autoimmunity, although other possible etiological causes include hormone imbalance, microbiological agents, hyperprolactinemia, smoking, and alpha-1 anti-trypsin deficiency (6-8).

The possible role of various bacterial agents in the etiology has long been discussed. Especially, Corynebacterium species, a component of the normal dermal flora, are suspected of being involved in the etiology and have been isolated in some cases (7, 9). By the isolation of this bacteria, some authors preferred to use “Cystic Neutrophilic Granulomatous Mastitis” term for the IGM cases associated with gram-positive bacilli (10, 11).

This study investigated the presence of bacteriological agents considered to be involved in the etiology of IGM, using a universal primer after isolating deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) from pathological specimens.
Materials and Methods

The current study was conducted with department of General Surgery, Pathology and Microbiology. The breast biopsy materials, available in the Pathology Clinic between July 2008 and June 2013, examined retrospectively.

The records of patients who were diagnosed with granulomatous mastitis based on a histopathological examination were evaluated in detail. Core biopsy, or incisional or excisional biopsy was performed depending on the clinical findings at the time of admission. For all of the biopsy specimens, Gram, periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) and Ziehl–Neelsen (ZN) staining procedures were performed for the analysis of microbiological agents, and culture methods were used for tuberculosis and fungal analyses. No antibiotic treatment was started before the biopsy process. However, patients admitted with inflammation in the breast were started on antibiotic treatment after taking the biopsy samples. For abscesses, surgical or imaging-guided percutaneous drainage was performed. For patients who did not recover after the initial treatment, options for surgical or steroid treatment were evaluated.

Patients biopsied using fine-needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB) were excluded because of insufficient material. Patients who also identified etiological factor could be established to explain the granulomatous reaction in the breast after clinical, radiological and biochemical examinations and were consequently diagnosed with IGM. Patients biopsied using fine-needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB) were excluded because of insufficient material. Patients who also identified etiological factor could be established to explain the granulomatous reaction in the breast after clinical, radiological and biochemical examinations and were consequently diagnosed with IGM.

Results

The records of 51 female patients who clinically and pathologically diagnosed with granulomatous mastitis were evaluated in detail. After excluding two patients (3.9%) for whom FNAB was used as the biopsy method and four patients (7.8%) for whom an etiological factor was established (tuberculosis and sarcoidosis in two cases each), 45 patients diagnosed with granulomatous mastitis were evaluated in detail. After excluding two patients (3.9%) for whom FNAB was used as the biopsy method and four patients (7.8%) for whom an etiological factor was established (tuberculosis and sarcoidosis in two cases each), 45 patients diagnosed with granulomatous mastitis were evaluated in detail.

The mean age of the patients included in the study was 35.4 (range 19–65) years. Twenty-one patients had right breast involvement; 22 patients had left breast involvement; and 2 patients had bilateral involvement.

Histopathological examination showed replacement of breast tissue by granulomatous inflammation that composed of histiocytes and lymphocytes. In the center of inflammation, epithelial histiocytes, scattered Langhans type giant cells and granular debris without caseous necrosis was seen (Hematoxylin and eosin, x100)

Figure 1 Histopathological examination showed replacement of breast tissue by granulomatous type inflammation that composed of histiocytes and lymphocytes. In the center of inflammation, epithelial histiocytes, scattered Langhans type giant cells and granular debris without caseous necrosis was seen (Hematoxylin and eosin, x100)

Figure 2 Gel showing the internal control (GAPDH, 120 bp) and positive control (E. coli ATCC 25922, 245 bp).

Figure 2 Gel showing the internal control (GAPDH, 120 bp) and positive control (E. coli ATCC 25922, 245 bp).
peated three times for each sample. All samples were positive for the internal control (GAPDH, 120 bp) in all three runs, but not positive with the designed universal primer, with the exception of the E. coli ATCC 25922 positive control (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

Although numerous studies, the etiology of IGM remains unclear. Various factors, including hormonal imbalance, autoimmunity, unknown microbiological agents, etc. have been mentioned (14-21). In this study, the microbiological agents, which mentioned in the literature investigated and concluded that any bacteriological agent is not primary cause of IGM etiology.

Several studies have investigated the role of microbiological agents in the etiology of IGM. These studies have focused on Corynebacterium (9-11, 22, 23). The normal endogenous bacterial flora of the breast is similar to the dermal flora. The predominant organisms are coagulase-negative staphylococci, Propionibacterium spp., and Corynebacterium spp. It is thought that these bacteria can penetrate deeper into the breast tissue from the skin through the ductal system (7).
In 2003, Taylor et al. (9) identified Corynebacterium in 34 of 62 patients who were diagnosed histologically with granulomatous mastitis; in comparison with the remaining 28 cases, fever, neutrophilia, and fistula formation were more common in bacteria-positive cases. In that study, C. kroppenstedtii was the species identified most commonly, in 14 cases. Paviour et al. (24) isolated Corynebacterium spp. from breast tissue or abscess or deep wound in 24 patients and in 12 cases gram-positive bacilli seen histopathologically. Nine cases diagnosed as IGM. In their study, the species isolated most commonly was also C. kroppenstedtii (15/19 cases) and C. amylolactum and C. tuberculosis were also identified. In addition, some case reports identified Corynebacterium species (25-27): two of these studies did not specify the species, while Ang et al. (25) isolated C. acollens. All three studies reported that antibiotic therapy was an effective treatment.

However, Corynebacterium species are members of the dermal flora and it is difficult to distinguish infection, colonization, and contamination by these organisms (24). Despite this difficulty, it was noteworthy that Corynebacterium spp. were identified in pus or were predominant (>104 CFU/mL) within abscesses (25). Funke et al. (26) reported that the presence of Gram-positive bacilli and polymorphonuclear leukocytes in a specimen or the presence of Corynebacterium in tissue that should normally be sterile, indicate that such bacteria are a potential cause.

We conducted a molecular based assay of many flora bacteria including Corynebacterium spp., as well as the most common infective agents. However, no bacterial DNA was detected. In addition, there was no growth in culture samples taken before initiating treatment (surgical or steroid), the antibiotic therapy used conferred no benefit to any of the patients, and the treatment did not lead to clinical recovery. Combined, our findings make it unlikely that bacteriological agents are involved in the etiology of IGM.

Moreover, some authors have suspected that IGM might be the result of undiagnosed tuberculosis and mention the requirement for more detailed examinations for tuberculosis when IGM is diagnosed in developing countries (8, 28-30). Due to tuberculosis is still an endemic disease in our country, we added M. tuberculosis primer to our study. Nevertheless, it was also negative. This weakens the postulate that “IGM patients concentrated in certain geographical regions might be undiagnosed tuberculosis cases”.

Several limitations of our study should be acknowledged. First, the primary limitation of the study design is that not all related cases were examined because of the exclusion criteria. A second study limitation was that fungi and viruses were not evaluated. Third, pro-inflammatory mediators and cytokines, which are produced in abundance during the inflammatory process, were not assayed.

Conclusion

We believe that known bacteriological agents, including Corynebacterium spp., which are members of the dermal flora and are most commonly discussed in the etiology of IGM, may not be the primary cause of IGM. A prospective study, including more cases, which also considers viruses, might be more informative in this matter.
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